

Name of submitter: IETA

Affiliated organization of the submitter (if any): IETA

Contact email of submitter: [fonden@ieta.org](mailto:fonden@ieta.org)

Date: September 29, 2023

**Legend for Columns**

- 0 = Main document or Appendix (provide Appendix number)
- 1 = Section Number in the document or Appendix
- 2= Paragraph, table or figure number
- 3 = Nature of input is general, technical or editorial
- 4 = Comment – the actual feedback or observation, including justification for what needs changing
- 5 = Proposed change – suggest the text if possible
- 6 = Assessment of comment – secretariat to document response/action taken to comment

0	1	2	3	4	5	6
M or A#	Section no.	Para., table or figure no.	Type of input G = general T = technical E = editorial	Comment	Proposed change (Include proposed text)	Assessment of comment (Completed by secretariat)
	4.2	14	G	Timeline for the Secretariat of confirmation of prior consideration notification on the UNFCCC website to be indicated.	Once the secretariat confirms that the required information has been duly provided, it shall assign a unique project reference number and publish the prior consideration notification on the UNFCCC website <b>within fourteen working days from receipt of project information as mentioned in Para 12.</b>	
M	4.2	15	G	Timeline for the Host Party of confirmation of prior consideration notification on the UNFCCC website to be indicated.	The host Party may indicate through the dedicated interface on the UNFCCC website that it has no objection for the proposed A6.4 project to proceed with requesting registration under the Article 6.4 mechanism, <b>within fourteen working days from receipt of prior consideration notification from the secretariat.</b>	
M	4.4	20	G	Clarify the response time of Host Party approval through its DNA on the PDD.	The host Party, through its DNA, should promptly respond to the publication but no later than <b>50 days of the notification</b> <del>or in any longer time frame to be indicated by the host Party</del> through the dedicated interface on the UNFCCC website	

Call for public input – Template for input			<a href="#">Draft Standard: Article 6.4 mechanism activity cycle procedure for projects (ver. 03.0)</a>			
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
M or A#	Section no.	Para., table or figure no.	Type of input G = general T = technical E = editorial	Comment	Proposed change (Include proposed text)	Assessment of comment (Completed by secretariat)
M	4.4	20-22	T	An option should be included for situations where a host party does not respond within the designated time frame. What happens in such cases?	Include an option to extend the time window in the event of no response from the host party DNA.	
M	4.6.2	27	G	Designate one primary focal point and one alternate focal point for activity participants	The activity participants of the proposed A6.4 project shall designate <b>one primary focal point and one secondary focal point</b> <del>one or more focal point entities</del> (hereinafter referred to as focal points) to communicate on their behalf with the Supervisory Body and the secretariat within the defined scopes of authority referred to in paragraph 29 below, and include this information in a modalities of communication (MoC) statement using the “Modalities of communication statement form” (A6.4M-MOC FORM)	
M	4.5 4.6.1	24-26	E	Long and complex sentence.	Please add some punctuation/full stops for improved clarity.	
M	4.6.3	37	G	“The DOE shall notify the secretariat, through a dedicated interface on the UNFCCC website, of the timing of the site inspection of the proposed A6.4 project, which is to be conducted in accordance with the “ <i>Article 6.4 mechanism validation and verification standard for projects</i> ”, no later than four weeks prior to the inspection.” Four weeks prior to inspection seems like an overly long timeline to notify the site inspection, considering the schedule of the validator.	Propose to change the sentence to “no later than <u>two weeks</u> prior to inspection”.	

Call for public input – Template for input [Draft Standard: Article 6.4 mechanism activity cycle procedure for projects \(ver. 03.0\)](#)

0	1	2	3	4	5	6
M or A#	Section no.	Para., table or figure no.	Type of input G = general T = technical E = editorial	Comment	Proposed change (Include proposed text)	Assessment of comment (Completed by secretariat)
M	5.1.1	40	G	Communication of confirmation of registration fees from the Secretariat should go to the DOE as well as the activity participants.	The secretariat shall issue a statement of the registration fee due, or confirmation that no registration fee is due, determined in accordance with the provisions on the registration fee contained in Appendix 1, and shall communicate this to the DOE <b>and the activity focal points.</b>	
M	5.1.2	45	G	Clarify the response time of secretariat on processing of request of registration.	The secretariat shall make publicly available the schedule and the status of processing each request for registration. The secretariat shall schedule the commencement of the processing of the requests for registration <b>within fourteen working days</b> in accordance with the secretariat's operational plans, that is, <del>monthly quotas</del> , which shall also incorporate any relevant instructions from the Supervisory Body.	

Call for public input – Template for input			<a href="#">Draft Standard: Article 6.4 mechanism activity cycle procedure for projects (ver. 03.0)</a>			
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
M or A#	Section no.	Para., table or figure no.	Type of input G = general T = technical E = editorial	Comment	Proposed change (Include proposed text)	Assessment of comment (Completed by secretariat)
M	5.1.2	47	T	Extension of timeline for document submission by the DOE during the completeness check & sending of reminder by the secretariat for submission before considering application incomplete.	If the secretariat, during the completeness check, identifies issues of an editorial nature or consistency in the submission, it shall request the DOE by e mail, copying the activity participants, to submit revised documents and/or information. In this case, the DOE shall submit the requested documents and/or information within <b>seven working days</b> <del>two days</del> of receipt of the request. If the DOE does not submit the requested documents and/or information by this deadline, the secretariat shall <del>conclude that the request submission is incomplete</del> <b>send a reminder to the DOE. The DOE may request a period of extension for the revised submission based on reasonable grounds, subject to a maximum of fourteen working days after which the secretariat shall conclude that the request submission is incomplete.</b>	
M	5.1.2	48	G	Revised registration documentation should not be treated as a new submission of request for registration but an updated submission.	In this case, the DOE may resubmit the request for registration with revised documentation. Upon submission of the revised documentation, <b>to be treated as an updated submission &amp; the stages already executed shall be treated as complete.</b> <del>the request shall be treated as a new submission of a request for registration</del>	

Call for public input – Template for input			<a href="#">Draft Standard: Article 6.4 mechanism activity cycle procedure for projects (ver. 03.0)</a>			
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
M or A#	Section no.	Para., table or figure no.	Type of input G = general T = technical E = editorial	Comment	Proposed change (Include proposed text)	Assessment of comment (Completed by secretariat)
M	5.1.2	50	G	Extension of timeline for requested document submission by the DOE during the substantive check.	In this case, the DOE shall submit the requested documents and/or information within <del>seven</del> <del>four</del> days of receipt of the request. <i>The DOE may request a period of extension for the revised submission based on reasonable grounds, subject to a maximum of seven working days after which the secretariat shall conclude that the submission is incomplete.</i>	
M	5.1.2	51	G	Revised registration documentation not to be treated as a new submission of request for registration, but an updated submission	Upon submission of the revised documentation, <i>it shall be treated as an updated submission and the stages already executed shall be treated as complete.</i> <del>the request shall be treated as a new submission of a request for registration</del>	
M	6.3.3	92	E	Long and complex sentence.	Add punctuation/full stops for improved clarity.	
M	6.3.4 to 6.3.8	98-102	E	There are lots of sub-headings here. This section may be easier to comprehend if these subheadings were presented in one section with various sub-branches that serves to clarify the step wise nature of the procedure.		
M	8.1.2	142	G	Indicate response time of secretariat on processing of request of registration.	The Secretariat shall make publicly available the schedule and the status of processing each request for issuance. The Secretariat shall schedule the commencement of the processing of the requests for issuance <i>within fourteen working days.</i> <del>in accordance with the secretariat's operational plans, that is, monthly quotas, which shall also incorporate any relevant instructions from the Supervisor Body.</del>	

Call for public input – Template for input			<a href="#">Draft Standard: Article 6.4 mechanism activity cycle procedure for projects (ver. 03.0)</a>			
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
M or A#	Section no.	Para., table or figure no.	Type of input G = general T = technical E = editorial	Comment	Proposed change (Include proposed text)	Assessment of comment (Completed by secretariat)
M	8.1.2	144	G	Extension of timeline for document submission by the DOE during the request of issuance & sending of reminder by the secretariat for submission before considering application incomplete.	If the secretariat, during the completeness check, identifies issues of an editorial nature or consistency in the submission, it shall request the DOE by email, copying the activity participants, to submit revised documents and/or information. In this case, the DOE shall submit the requested documents and/or information within <b>10 days</b> <del>two days</del> of receipt of the request. If the DOE does not submit the requested documents and/or information by this deadline, the secretariat <del>conclude that the request submission is incomplete</del> <b>should send a reminder to the DOE. The DOE may request a period of extension for the revised submission based on reasonable grounds, subject to a maximum of 10 days after which the secretariat shall conclude that the request submission is incomplete.</b>	
M	8.1.2	145 & 148	G	Revised request of issuance documentation in the completeness and substantive check stage, not to be treated as a new submission of request for registration, but an updated submission.	Upon submission of the revised documentation, the request shall be treated to be treated as an <b>updated submission &amp; the stages already executed shall be treated as complete.</b> <del>as a new submission of a request for issuance</del>	
M	9.2.4 to 9.2.8	202-206	E	There are lots of sub-headings here. This section may be easier to comprehend if these subheadings were presented in one section with various sub-branches that serves to clarify the step wise nature of the procedure.		

Call for public input – Template for input				<a href="#">Draft Standard: Article 6.4 mechanism activity cycle procedure for projects (ver. 03.0)</a>		
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
M or A#	Section no.	Para., table or figure no.	Type of input G = general T = technical E = editorial	Comment	Proposed change (Include proposed text)	Assessment of comment (Completed by secretariat)
A#1	6	14	G	Renewal Fee to be optimized with respect to the registration fees as it should require less administrative work.	The fee to be charged for a request for renewal of the crediting period of a registered A6.4 project (renewal fee) shall be at the 80% of the registration fee same rate as the registration fee referred to in paragraph 6 above, applicable to the project based on its scale of GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals.	